

Non-finitely based monoids

Olga Sapir

February 25, 2014

Abstract

We present a method for proving that a semigroup is non-finitely based and find some new sufficient conditions under which a monoid is non-finitely based. Our method also gives a short proof to the result of [23].

Keywords: Finite Basis Problem, Semigroups, Monoids

1 Introduction

An algebra is said to be *finitely based* (abbreviated to FB) if there is a finite subset of its identities from which all of its identities may be deduced. Otherwise, an algebra is said to be *non-finitely based* (abbreviated to NFB). The famous Tarski's Finite Basis Problem asks if there is an algorithm to decide when a finite algebra is finitely based. In 1996, R. McKenzie [10] solved this problem in the negative showing that the classes of FB and inherently not finitely based finite algebras are recursively inseparable. (A locally finite algebra is said to be *inherently not finitely based* (INFB) if any locally finite variety containing it is NFB.) It is still unknown whether the set of FB finite semigroups is recursive although a very large volume of work is devoted to this problem (see the surveys [19, 21]). In contrast with McKenzie's result, a powerful description of the INFB finite semigroups has been obtained by M. Sapir [12, 13].

In 1968, P. Perkins [11] found the first sufficient condition under which a monoid (semigroup with an identity element) is NFB. By using this condition, he constructed the first two examples of finite NFB semigroups. The first example was the 6-element Brandt monoid and the second example was the 25-element monoid obtained from the set of words $W = \{abtba, atbab, abab, aat\}$ by using the following construction attributed to Dilworth.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an alphabet and W be a set of words in the free monoid $\mathfrak{A}^*$. Let $S(W)$ denote the Rees quotient over the ideal of $\mathfrak{A}^*$ consisting of all words that are not subwords of words in W . For each set of words W , the semigroup $S(W)$ is a monoid with zero whose nonzero elements are the subwords of words in W . Evidently, $S(W)$ is finite if and only if W is finite.

In [3], M. Jackson proved that the varieties generated by $S(\{at_1abt_2b\})$ and $S(\{abt_1at_2b, at_1bt_2ab\})$ are *limit varieties* in a sense that each of these varieties is NFB while each proper monoid subvariety of each of these varieties is FB. In [5], E. Lee gave the affirmative answer to the question of Jackson from [3] by proving that these varieties are the only limit varieties generated by finite aperiodic monoids with central idempotents and later, in [6], he proved that these varieties are the only limit varieties generated by aperiodic monoids with central idempotents. In [23], W. Zhang gave the affirmative answer to the second question posed by Jackson in [3] by proving that the varieties generated by $S(\{at_1abt_2b\})$ and $S(\{abt_1at_2b, at_1bt_2ab\})$ are not the only limit varieties in a wider class of varieties of all aperiodic monoids. She proved it by showing that a certain seven-element monoid L^1 is non-finitely based (see more details below). Investigation of the monoid L^1 was suggested to her by E. Lee because the variety generated by the monoid L^1 contains neither $S(\{at_1abt_2b\})$ nor $S(\{abt_1at_2b, at_1bt_2ab\})$.

We say that a semigroup S is *non-finitely based by a set of identities* Σ if S satisfies all the identities in Σ but Σ can not be derived from any finite set of identities of S . Recall that *axiomatic rank of an algebra* is the minimal number of variables m so that all of its identities may be deduced from its identities in m variables. It is well-known that every locally finite variety of algebras of finite axiomatic rank is finitely based. This implies that if a locally finite semigroup is non-finitely based by a set of identities Σ then there is no bound on the number of variables involved in the identities from Σ .

The seven-element monoid L^1 mentioned above is obtained by adjoining an identity element to the semigroup $L = \langle a, b \mid aa = a, bb = b, aba = 0 \rangle$. In [22], W. Zhang and Y. Luo proved that semigroup L is non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{yx_1^2x_2^2 \dots x_n^2y \approx yx_n^2x_{n-1}^2 \dots x_1^2y \mid n > 1\}$. In [7], E. Lee generalized this result into a sufficient condition under which a semigroup is non-finitely based by a set of identities $\{yx_1^kx_2^k \dots x_n^ky \approx yx_n^kx_{n-1}^k \dots x_1^ky \mid n > 1\}$ for $k > 1$.

Throughout this article, elements of a countable alphabet $\mathfrak{A}$ are called *variables* and elements of the free semigroup $\mathfrak{A}^+$ are called *words*. If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a set of variables then we denote $[Xn] = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ and $[nX] = x_nx_{n-1} \dots x_1$. The mentioned result of [23] says that monoid L^1 is non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{[Xn][Yn][nX][nY] \approx [Yn][Xn][nY][nX] \mid n > 1\}$.

In this article we present a method (see Lemma 4.1 below) that can be used for proving that a semigroup is non-finitely based. We use this method to find nine new sufficient conditions under which a monoid is non-finitely based (see Theorems 2.4 and 4.6 below). Theorem 2.4 gives us a sufficient condition under which a monoid is non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{[Xn][Yn][nX][nY] \approx [Yn][Xn][nY][nX] \mid n > 1\}$. The proof of Theorem 2.4 yields a short proof to the result of Zhang that monoid L^1 is non-finitely based (see Corollary 2.5 below).

A word $\mathbf{u}$ is said to be an *isoterm* ([11]) for a semigroup S if S does not satisfy any nontrivial identity of the form $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. The part of Theorem 4.6 which is encoded in the first row of Table 1 can be decoded into the following statement: if S is a monoid that satisfies the identity $xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx$ for each $n > 1$ and

the word $xyyx$ is an isoterms for S then S is non-finitely based. This sufficient condition for the non-finite basis property of monoids can be deduced easily from the proofs of some interesting results obtained in 1970's independently by J. Isbell and L. Shneerson.

In 1970, J. Isbell ([1]) proved that the variety of groups defined by the identity $xyxy \approx yyxx$ generates the variety of monoids $\mathcal{M}$ which is non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx \mid n > 1\}$. His proof was based on the fact that the identity $xyxy \approx yyxx$ is the only nontrivial identity whose left-hand side has length at most 4 and which holds in the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ and in the symmetric group S_3 simultaneously. This property of the monoid $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ is equivalent to the property that the word $xyyx$ is an isoterms for $\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ and consequently, for $\mathcal{M}$.

In 1972, L. Shneerson (see [20] for exact references) described all semigroups and monoids with one defining relation satisfying nontrivial identities and noticed that the monoid $M = \langle a, b \mid abba = 1 \rangle$ represents a unique (up to isomorphism) example of a one-relator monoid which is a non-cyclic group without free submonoids of rank 2. A couple of years later, he proved that monoid M is non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx \mid n > 1\}$. An examination of the Shneerson's proof also shows that the only property of the monoid M which is responsible for M being non-finitely based by the set of identities $\{xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx \mid n > 1\}$, is that the word $xyyx$ is an isoterms for M .

The NFB monoid M is also interesting because it is finitely based as a group. The mentioned results of Shneerson together with his other results about monoids with one defining relation were published in English in [20]. In particular, it is proved there that if a semigroup or monoid with one defining relation has a finite axiomatic rank then it is finitely based. Also, the article [20] contains the first example of a NFB semigroup S so that the monoid S^1 obtained by adjoining an identity element to S is finitely based. Recently [8], E. Lee found a way to construct finite semigroups with this property.

Theorem 4.6 will be used in articles [16, 17]. If a variable t occurs exactly once in a word $\mathbf{u}$ then we say that t is *linear* in $\mathbf{u}$. If a variable x occurs more than once in a word $\mathbf{u}$ then we say that x is *non-linear* in $\mathbf{u}$. Articles [16, 17] contain some algorithms that recognize FB semigroups among certain finite monoids of the form $S(W)$. In particular, in article [16], we show how to recognize FB semigroups among the monoids of the form $S(W)$ where W consists of a single word with at most two non-linear variables.

Let $\sim_S$ denote the fully invariant congruence on $\mathfrak{A}^+$ corresponding to a semigroup S and $[\mathbf{u}]_S$ denote the equivalence class of $\sim_S$ containing the word $\mathbf{u}$. We say that an equivalence class $[\mathbf{u}]_S$ is in $k > 0$ variables if the word $\mathbf{u}$ depends on k variables. We observe that the original sufficient condition for the non-finite basis property of monoids found by Perkins in [11], the many sufficient conditions contained in articles [2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 14] and the nine sufficient conditions that we exhibit in this article all are of the following form:

- If a monoid S satisfies all identities in a set of identities Σ and for some $m > 0$

the equivalence classes of $\sim_S$ in at most m variables satisfy certain restrictions $\mathcal{R}$ then monoid S is non-finitely based by Σ .

For example, the sufficient condition for the non-finite basis property of monoids encoded in the first row of Table 1 below is also of this form where $\Sigma = \{xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx \mid n > 1\}$, $m = 2$ and those restrictions $\mathcal{R}$ on the equivalence classes of $\sim_S$ in at most two variables are saying that the equivalence class $[xyyx]_S$ is a singleton.

We observe that Lemma 4.1 can be used to prove or reprove all known sufficient conditions of this form for the non-finite basis property of semigroups. We say that an equivalence class $[\mathbf{u}]_S$ is with at most two non-linear variables if the word $\mathbf{u}$ contains at most two non-linear variables. Finally, we observe that in all known sufficient conditions of this form those restrictions $\mathcal{R}$ are put on the equivalence classes of $\sim_S$ with at most two non-linear variables.

2 A method for proving that a semigroup is non-finitely based

If some variable x occurs $n \geq 0$ times in a word $\mathbf{u}$ then we write $occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = n$ and say that x is n -*occurring* in $\mathbf{u}$. The set $\text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x) > 0\}$ of all variables contained in a word $\mathbf{u}$ is called the *content* of $\mathbf{u}$.

We use $_{i\mathbf{u}}x$ to refer to the i^{th} from the left occurrence of x in $\mathbf{u}$. We use $_{\ell\mathbf{u}}x$ to refer to the last occurrence of x in $\mathbf{u}$. The set $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}) = \{_{i\mathbf{u}}x \mid x \in \mathfrak{A}, 1 \leq i \leq occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x)\}$ of all occurrences of all variables in $\mathbf{u}$ is called the *occurrence set* of $\mathbf{u}$. The word $\mathbf{u}$ induces a (total) order $<_{\mathbf{u}}$ on set $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ defined by $_{i\mathbf{u}}x <_{\mathbf{u}} _{j\mathbf{u}}y$ if and only if the i^{th} occurrence of x precedes the j^{th} occurrence of y in $\mathbf{u}$. For example, $\text{OccSet}(xyx) = \{_{1xy}x, _{1xy}y, _{2xy}x\}$ together with the order $<_{xyx}$ is an algebra $(\text{OccSet}(xyx), <_{xyx})$ isomorphic to the three-element totally ordered set: $(_{1xy}x) <_{xyx} (_{1xy}y) <_{xyx} (_{2xy}x)$.

If $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are two words then $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ is a map from $\{_{i\mathbf{u}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}), i \leq \min(occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{v}}(x))\}$ to $\{_{i\mathbf{v}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{v}), i \leq \min(occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{v}}(x))\}$ defined by $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(_{i\mathbf{u}}x) = _{i\mathbf{v}}x$. Note that $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}}$ is the identity map of $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$.

If $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ then we say that set X is *left-stable* in an identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ if the map $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ is defined on X and is an isomorphism of the (totally) ordered sets $(X, <_{\mathbf{u}})$ and $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(X), <_{\mathbf{v}})$. Otherwise, we say that set X is *left-unstable* in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. For example, set $\{_{2(xxy)}y\}$ is left-unstable in the identity $xxyy \approx xxy$ but set $\{_{1(xy)}x, _{2(xy)}x, _{1(xy)}y\}$ is left-stable in this identity. Dually, by introducing the maps $r_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ that count occurrences of variables from right to left, one can define a *right-stable set*. For example, set $\{_{1(xy)}x, _{1(xy)}y\}$ is left-stable but right-unstable in the identity $xy \approx xyx$.

If $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are three words then we define $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \circ l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})$ as the map from $X = \{_{i\mathbf{u}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}), i \leq \min(occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{v}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{w}}(x))\}$ to $Y = \{_{i\mathbf{w}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{w}), i \leq \min(occ_{\mathbf{u}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{v}}(x), occ_{\mathbf{w}}(x))\}$ by $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \circ l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})(x) = l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(x))$ for

each $x \in X$. Evidently, the maps $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \circ l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})$ and $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}$ coincide on X and $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \circ l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u}})$ is the identity map on $\{i_{\mathbf{u}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}), i \leq \min(\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x), \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x))\}$. The following fact can be easily verified.

Fact 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ be words and*

$$X \subseteq \{i_{\mathbf{u}}x \mid x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}), i \leq \min(\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x), \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x), \text{occ}_{\mathbf{w}}(x))\}.$$

If the map $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ is an isomorphism from $(X, <_{\mathbf{u}})$ to $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(X), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ and the map $l_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}}$ is an isomorphism from $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(X), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ to $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}(X), <_{\mathbf{w}})$ then the map $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}$ is an isomorphism from $(X, <_{\mathbf{u}})$ to $(l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}(X), <_{\mathbf{w}})$.

If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a countable alphabet then a *substitution* $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ is a homomorphism of the free semigroup $\mathfrak{A}^+$. If S is a finitely based semigroup, then it is always possible to choose a finite basis Σ for S in such a way so that a derivation of any identity $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$ of S from Σ is a sequence of words $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_1 \approx \mathbf{U}_2 \approx \dots \approx \mathbf{U}_l = \mathbf{V}$ and substitutions $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+)$ so that for each $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ we have $\mathbf{U}_i = \Theta_i(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{i+1} = \Theta_i(\mathbf{v}_i)$ for some identity $\mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{v}_i \in \Sigma$. (Since the derivation described in the previous sentence is not standard, see [18, Section 9] for an explanation why one can always choose a finite basis Σ in this way.)

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a semigroup.*

Suppose that for each n large enough one can find an identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ of S in at least n variables so that for some set $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}_n)$ each of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) *Set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$;*
- (ii) *If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ and set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$ then for every identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ of S in less than $n/2$ variables and every substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ the set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X)$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \Theta(\mathbf{v})$.*

Then semigroup S is non-finitely based.

Proof. Working toward a contradiction, assume that S is finitely based by a set of identities Σ that involves less than m variables for some $m > 0$. By our assumption, one can find an identity $\mathbf{U}_{2m} \approx \mathbf{V}_{2m}$ of S in $2m$ variables and a set $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}_{2m})$ so that Condition (ii) is satisfied.

Since S is finitely based by Σ , there is a sequence of words $\mathbf{U}_{2m} = \mathbf{W}_1 \approx \mathbf{W}_2 \approx \dots \approx \mathbf{W}_l = \mathbf{V}_{2m}$ and substitutions $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+)$ so that for each $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ we have $\mathbf{W}_i = \Theta_i(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i+1} = \Theta_i(\mathbf{v}_i)$ for some identity $\mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{v}_i \in \Sigma$.

Condition (ii) implies that set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{W}_1 \approx \mathbf{W}_2$, set $l_{\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2}(X)$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{W}_2 \approx \mathbf{W}_3$, $\dots$, set $l_{\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_{l-1}}(X)$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{W}_{l-1} \approx \mathbf{W}_l$. In view of Fact 2.1, set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_{2m} = \mathbf{W}_1 \approx \mathbf{W}_l = \mathbf{V}_{2m}$. To avoid a contradiction with Condition (i) we must assume that S is non-finitely based. $\square$

Let $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ be a substitution so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$. Then Θ induces a map $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}$ from $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ into subsets of $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U})$ as follows. If $1 \leq i \leq \text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ then $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(i_{\mathbf{u}}x)$ denotes the set of all elements of $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U})$ contained in the subword of $\mathbf{U}$ of the form $\Theta(x)$ that corresponds to the i^{th} occurrence of variable x in $\mathbf{u}$. For example,

if $\Theta(x) = ab$ and $\Theta(y) = bab$ then $\Theta_{xyx}(2(xy)x) = \{3(abbabab)a, 4(abbabab)b\}$. Evidently, for each $x \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ the set $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ is an interval in $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$. Now we define a function $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ from $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U})$ to $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ as follows. If $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U})$ then $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(c) = d$ so that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(d)$ contains c . For example, $\Theta_{xyx}^{-1}(3(abbabab)a) = 2(xy)x$. It is easy to see that if $\mathbf{U} = \Theta(\mathbf{u})$ then function $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, i.e. for every $c, d \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U})$ we have $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(c) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(d)$ whenever $c <_{\mathbf{U}} d$. The following fact can be easily verified.

Fact 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word and $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ be a substitution so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$.*

If $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(i\mathbf{U}x) = (j\mathbf{u}y)$ for some $x \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{U})$ and $y \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ then $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(y) \leq \text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x)$ and $j \leq i$.

If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a set of variables then we write $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X})$ to refer to the word obtained from $\mathbf{u}$ by deleting all occurrences of all variables that are not in $\mathfrak{X}$ and say that the word $\mathbf{u}$ *deletes* to the word $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X})$. If $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ then we write $\mathbf{u}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ instead of $\mathbf{u}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$. We say that a set $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ is *left-stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to a semigroup S* if X is left-stable in any identity of S of the form $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. For simplicity, we sometimes write $i\mathbf{u}x <_{\mathbf{U}} j\mathbf{u}y$ instead of $i\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} j\mathbf{U}y$. If a variable t is *linear* (1-occurring) in a word $\mathbf{u}$ then we use $_{\mathbf{u}}t$ to denote the only occurrence of t in $\mathbf{u}$. An identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ is called *regular* if $\text{Cont}(\mathbf{u}) = \text{Cont}(\mathbf{v})$. Now we illustrate how to use Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be a monoid that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *For each n large enough, S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n = [Xn][Yn][nX][nY] \approx [Yn][Xn][nY][nX] = \mathbf{V}_n$;*
- (ii) *For each $m + c > 0$, the set $\{1x, t\}$ is left-stable in $x^m t x^c$ with respect to S ;*
- (iii) *For each $m, c > 1$ the equivalence class $[x^m y^c]_S$ contains only words of the same form;*
- (iv) *For each $m, c > 0$ and $d > 1$ the equivalence class $[x^m y^d x^c]_S$ contains only words of the same form.*

Then monoid S is non-finitely based.

Proof. First, notice that Condition (iii) implies that S satisfies only regular identities. We need the following property of the equivalence class $[\mathbf{U}_n]_S$.

Claim 1. *Let $n > 2$, $1 < i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$. If $1\mathbf{U}x_i <_{\mathbf{U}} 1\mathbf{U}y_2$ then $1\mathbf{U}x_{i+1} <_{\mathbf{U}} 1\mathbf{U}y_2$.*

Proof. Working toward a contradiction, assume that for some $i > 1$ we have $1x_i <_{\mathbf{U}} 1y_2$ but $1y_2 <_{\mathbf{U}} 1x_{i+1}$. Then $(1x_i) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell x_{i+1})$.

Where is ℓy_2 ? Since $\mathbf{U}_n(y_2, x_{i+1}) = (x_{i+1})(y_2)(x_{i+1})(y_2)$, in order to avoid a contradiction to Conditions (iii), we conclude that $(1x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} \ell y_2$.

Now assume that $\ell x_{i+1} <_{\mathbf{U}} \ell y_2$. Since $\mathbf{U}_n(y_1, y_2) = y_1 y_2 y_2 y_1$, Condition (iv) implies that $\mathbf{U}(y_1, y_2) = y_1^m y_2^d y_1^c$ for some $m, c > 0$ and $d > 1$. So, we have $(1y_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell y_1)$. Now Condition (iv) implies that $\mathbf{U}(y_1, x_{i+1}) = y_1^m x_{i+1}^d y_1^c$ for some $m, c > 0$ and $d > 1$. Now since $\mathbf{U}_n(y_1, x_{i+1}) =$

$(x_{i+1})(y_1)(x_{i+1})(y_1)$, to avoid a contradiction to Condition (iv) we conclude that $\ell y_2 <_{\mathbf{U}} \ell x_{i+1}$. So, we have that $(1x_i) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell x_{i+1})$.

Since $\mathbf{U}_n(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+1} x_i$ to avoid a contradiction to Condition (iv), we must assume that $(1x_i) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell x_{i+1}) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\ell x_i)$. Now since $\mathbf{U}_n(x_{i-1}, x_i) = x_{i-1} x_i x_i x_{i-1}$, to avoid a contradiction to Condition (iv), we must assume that $\mathbf{U}(x_{i-1}, y_2) = x_{i-1}^m y_2^d x_{i-1}^c$ for some $m, c > 0$ and $d > 1$. Now since $\mathbf{U}_n(x_{i-1}, y_2) = (x_{i-1})(y_2)(x_{i-1})(y_2)$, this gives us a contradiction to Condition (iv) that we can do nothing to avoid. $\square$

Now take $n > 2$ and consider the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ and set $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n} x_2, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n} y_2\}$. Evidently, set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that set $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n} x_2, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n} y_2\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $1_{\mathbf{U}} x_2 <_{\mathbf{U}} 1_{\mathbf{U}} y_2$.

Since for each $1 < i < n - 1$ we have $\mathbf{U}_n(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i x_{i+1}^2 x_i$, Condition (iv) implies the following property of the word $\mathbf{U}$.

(P) For each $1 < i < n - 1$ we have $\mathbf{U}(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^m x_{i+1}^p x_i^q$ for some $m, q > 0$ and $p > 1$.

Property (P) and Claim 1 imply that $(1_{\mathbf{U}} x_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}} x_3) <_{\mathbf{U}} \cdots <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}} x_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}} y_2)$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n - 1$ both $1_{\mathbf{U}} x_i$ and $1_{\mathbf{U}} x_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}} x_2) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}} y_2)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. In view of Fact 2.3, for some $x, x' \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ and $y, y' \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{v})$ we have $1_{\mathbf{u}} x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}} x_2)$, $1_{\mathbf{u}} y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}} y_2)$, $1_{\mathbf{v}} x' = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{V}} x_2)$ and $1_{\mathbf{v}} y' = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{V}} y_2)$. Then we have $(1_{\mathbf{u}} x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (1_{\mathbf{u}} y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (1_{\mathbf{u}} y')$.

Now in view of Condition (ii) we have that $(1_{\mathbf{v}} x') \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}} x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (\mathbf{v}t) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}} y)$ and $(\mathbf{v}t) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}} y')$.

Therefore, $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{V}} x_2) = (1_{\mathbf{v}} x') \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (\mathbf{v}t) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}} y') = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{V}} y_2)$ and, consequently, $(1_{\mathbf{V}} x_2) <_{\mathbf{V}} (1_{\mathbf{V}} y_2)$. This means that set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{1_{\mathbf{U}} x_2, 1_{\mathbf{U}} y_2\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. [23, Theorem 11] Let L^1 denote the monoid obtained by adjoining an identity element to the semigroup $L = \langle a, b \mid aa = a, bb = b, aba = 0 \rangle$ of order six. Then L^1 is non-finitely based.

Proof. (i) According to Lemma 10 in [23], the monoid L^1 satisfies $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n \geq 2$.

(ii) First notice that since $(ab)^2 = 0$ and $ab \neq 0$, the word t is an isoterms for L^1 . Now Condition (ii) can be checked easily by substituting $x \rightarrow b$ and $t \rightarrow a$.

Conditions (iii)-(iv) can be checked easily by substituting $x \rightarrow b$ and $y \rightarrow a$. So, by Theorem 2.4 the monoid L^1 is non-finitely based. $\square$

3 Interrelations between words induced by monoids

Notice that the sufficient condition for the non-finite basis property of monoids given by Theorem 2.4 is of the same form as we described in the end of the Introduction. Assertions (ii)-(iv) of Theorem 2.4 put certain restrictions on the equivalence classes of $\sim_S$ in two variables, and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is done by analyzing how these restrictions affect the equivalence classes corresponding to words in arbitrary many variables.

Our goal for the rest of this article is to prove eight similar statements in a similar way (see Theorem 4.6 below). Each of these statements is encoded in one of the eight rows of Table 1 by a set of words W in the left column and a system of identities $\{\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n \mid n > 1\}$ in the right column.

In order to achieve this goal, we study how restrictions on certain equivalence classes modulo $\sim_S$ affect other equivalence classes modulo $\sim_S$.

If W and W' are two sets of words then we write $W \preceq W'$ if for any monoid S each word in W' is an isoterm for S whenever each word in W is an isoterm for S . It is easy to see that the relation $\preceq$ is reflexive and transitive, i.e. it is a *quasi-order* on sets of words. If $W \preceq W' \preceq W$ then we write $W \sim W'$. The relations $\preceq$ and $\sim$ we extend to individual words. Say, if u and v are two words then $u \sim v$ means $\{u\} \sim \{v\}$. Also, if W is a set of words and u is a word then $W \preceq u$ means $W \preceq \{u\}$.

This quasi-order $\preceq$ provides us with a compact way to express how restrictions on certain equivalence classes modulo $\sim_S$ affect other equivalence classes modulo $\sim_S$. For example, it is easy to see that for every $n > 1$ we have $xy \preceq x_1x_2 \dots x_n$. If $\mathbf{u}$ is a subword of a word $\mathbf{v}$ then evidently, we have $\mathbf{v} \preceq \mathbf{u}$. The following fact will be used often in this article as well as in articles [15, 16, 17].

Fact 3.1. *If xtx is an isoterm for a monoid S , then*

- (i) *the words xt_1yxt_2y and xt_1xyt_2y can only form an identity of S with each other;*
- (ii) *the words xyt_1xt_2y and yxt_1xt_2y can only form an identity of S with each other;*
- (iii) *the words xt_1yt_2xy and xt_1yt_2yx can only form an identity of S with each other.*

Proof. (i) If S satisfies an identity $xt_1yxt_2y \approx \mathbf{u}$ then since $xtx \preceq xy$ we have $\mathbf{u}(t_1, t_2) = t_1t_2$. Since xtx is an isoterm for S we have that $\mathbf{u}(t_1, t_2, x) = xt_1xt_2$ and $\mathbf{u}(t_1, t_2, y) = t_1yt_2y$. Therefore, the words xt_1yxt_2y and xt_1xyt_2y can only form an identity of S with each other.

The proofs of Parts (ii) and (iii) are similar. □

Since each of the six words considered in Fact 3.1 is less than the word xtx in the order $\preceq$, Fact 3.1 immediately implies the following statement that will be often used without a reference.

Fact 3.2. $xt_1xyt_2y \sim xt_1yxt_2y$, $xyt_1xt_2y \sim yxt_1xt_2y$ and $xt_1yt_2xy \sim xt_1yt_2yx$.

We say that a set of variables $\mathfrak{X}$ is *stable* in an identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ if $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathfrak{X})$. Otherwise, we say that set $\mathfrak{X}$ is *unstable* in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. In particular, a variable x is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ if and only if $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)$. We say that a set of variables $\mathfrak{X}$ is *stable* in a word $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S if set $\mathfrak{X}$ is stable in every identity of S of the form $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. The following fact can be easily verified.

Fact 3.3. *Let S be a monoid and $\mathbf{u}$ be a word.*

- (i) *If the word x is an isoterms for S then S satisfies only regular identities.*
- (ii) *If the word x^m is an isoterms for S then every variable x with $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \leq m$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .*
- (iii) *If the word xy is an isoterms for S then the set of all linear variables in $\mathbf{u}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .*

If $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ then $\text{Cont}(X)$ denotes the set of all variables involved in X . We say that a set $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ is *stable* in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S if X is left-stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S and each variable in $\text{Cont}(X)$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . If $\{c, d\} \subseteq X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$, then we say that pair $\{c, d\}$ is *adjacent in X* if there is no element $e \in X$ so that $c <_{\mathbf{u}} e <_{\mathbf{u}} d$. If $X = \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ then we simply say that pair $\{c, d\}$ is *adjacent in $\mathbf{u}$* . We say that a pair of variables $\{x, y\}$ is *adjacent in $\mathbf{u}$* if for some $c, d \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}(x, y))$ the pair $\{c, d\}$ is adjacent in $\mathbf{u}$. The following two facts can be easily verified and will be sometimes used without a reference.

Fact 3.4. *Let S be a monoid and $\mathbf{u}$ be a word. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *$\mathbf{u}$ is an isoterms for S ;*
- (ii) *The set $\text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S ;*
- (iii) *The set $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S ;*
- (iv) *Each adjacent pair in $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S ;*
- (v) *Each adjacent pair in $\text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .*

Fact 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an identity.*

- (i) *If a set $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, then every subset of X is also stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.*
- (ii) *If a set $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, then every subset of $\mathfrak{X}$ is also stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.*
- (iii) *If a set $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, then $\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X}))$ is also stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.*

We use letter t with or without subscripts to denote linear (1-occurring) variables. If we use letter t several times in a word, we assume that different occurrences of t represent distinct linear variables. The word $x_1y_1x_2y_2 \dots x_ny_n$ is denoted by $[XYn]$. We use $\mathbf{U}^t$ (${}^t\mathbf{U}$) to denote the word obtained from a word $\mathbf{U}$ by inserting a linear letter after (before) each occurrence of each variable in $\mathbf{U}$. For example, $[Zn]^t = z_1t_1z_2t_2 \dots z_nt_n$.

The next three lemmas are needed only to prove Theorem 4.6 below. We start with a statement related to the first row in Table 1.

	set of words W	identity $U_n \approx V_n$ for $n > 1$
1	$xyyx$	$xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx$
2	$yxxty, ytxxy$	$[Zn]^t yxx[Zn]y \approx [Zn]^t xxy[Zn]y$
3	$xtxyty$	$[ZPn]^t x[ZQn]xy[PRn]y^t[QRn] \approx [ZPn]^t x[ZQn]yx[PRn]y^t[QRn]$
4	$xyyy, xytytx$	$xytyz_1^2 z_2^2 \dots z_n^2 x \approx yxtyz_1^2 z_2^2 \dots z_n^2 x$
5	$xyxyty, xytxy, xytyx$	$xy[Zn]yxt[nZ] \approx yx[Zn]xyt[nZ]$
6	$xyxyty, xytxy, xytyx$	$xy[Zn]xyt[nZ] \approx yx[Zn]yxt[nZ]$
7	$xtxyty, xyxx$	$[Xn][nX][Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY][Xn][nX]$
8 ($m > 2$)	$xyyy,$ $\{ytxx^d tx^{m-d}, x^{m-d} tx^d yty 0 < d < m\}$	$yt_1 x^{m-1} y p_1^2 \dots p_n^2 z x t_2 z \approx$ $\approx yt_1 x^m y p_1^2 \dots p_n^2 z t_2 z$

Table 1: Eight sufficient conditions under which a monoid is NFB

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be a monoid so that the word $xyyx$ is an isoterms for S . Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *some variables $x, y \in \text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$;*
- (ii) *$(1_{\mathbf{u}}x) <_{\mathbf{u}} (1_{\mathbf{u}}y)$;*
- (iii) *If both variables x and y occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then $\mathbf{u}$ contains a linear variable t so that $(1_{\mathbf{u}}y) <_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) <_{\mathbf{u}} (2_{\mathbf{u}}y)$ and $(2_{\mathbf{u}}x) <_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (2_{\mathbf{u}}y)$.*

Then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an identity of S . Since the word xx is an isoterms for S , we have that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)$ and $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(y) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(y)$.

If both x and y are linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ by Fact 3.3. If one variable among x and y is linear in $\mathbf{u}$, then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xyyx \preceq \{xtx, xxt, txx\}$.

If both x and y occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then either $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t) = xxyty$ or $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t) = xyxyt$. In both cases, set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. $\square$

The next lemma is related to the second row in Table 1.

Lemma 3.7. $\{yxxty, ytxxy\} \preceq z_1 t_1 z_2 t_2 \dots z_n t_n x x z_1 z_2 \dots z_n$.

Proof. Let S be a monoid so that the words $yxxty$ and $ytxxy$ are isoterms for S . Denote $\mathbf{u} = z_1 t z_2 t \dots z_n t x x z_1 z_2 \dots z_n$.

Since xx is an isoterms for S and every variable occurs in $\mathbf{u}$ at most twice, every variable in $\text{Cont}(\mathbf{u})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . Since $yxxty \preceq \{xxt, txx, xtx\}$, each pair of variables that involves a linear variable is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . Since for each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have $\mathbf{u}(z_i, z_j, t_i, t_n) = z_i t_i z_j t_n z_i z_j \sim ytxtyx \sim ytxxy \succeq ytxxy$, for each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the pair (z_i, z_j) is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . Since for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\mathbf{u}(x, z_i, t_i) = z_i t_i x x z_i \sim ytxxy$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ the pair (x, z_i) is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . The rest follows from Fact 3.4. $\square$

The next lemma is related to the third row in Table 1.

Lemma 3.8. $xtxyty \preceq [ZPn]^t[ZQn][PRn]^t[QRn] =$
 $= (z_1tp_1tz_2tp_2t \dots z_ntp_nt)(z_1q_1z_2q_2 \dots z_nq_n)(p_1r_1p_2r_2 \dots p_nr_n)(tq_1tr_1tq_2tr_2t \dots q_ntr_n).$

Proof. Let S be a monoid so that the word $xtxyty$ is an isoterm for S . Denote $\mathbf{u} = [ZPn]^t[ZQn][PRn]^t[QRn]$.

Notice that if x is a nonlinear variable in $\mathbf{u}$ then for some linear variable t we have $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = xtx$. This implies that each pair of variables that involves a linear variable is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .

Now for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\mathbf{u}(z_i, q_i) = z_itz_iq_itq_i$, $\mathbf{u}(q_i, z_{i+1}) = z_{i+1}tq_iz_{i+1}tq_i$, $\mathbf{u}(q_n, p_1) = p_1tq_np_1tq_n$, $\mathbf{u}(p_i, r_i) = p_itp_ir_itr_i$, $\mathbf{u}(r_i, p_{i+1}) = p_{i+1}tr_ip_{i+1}tr_i$. In view of Fact 3.2(ii), all these words are isoterms for S . Since every adjacent pair of variables is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S , the word $\mathbf{u}$ is an isoterm for S by Fact 3.4. $\square$

4 Some sufficient conditions for a monoid to be non-finitely based

The following statement generalizes Lemma 2.2 slightly and can be proved in a similar way.

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be a semigroup.*

Suppose that for each n large enough one can find an identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ of S in at least n variables and two sets $X \subseteq \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}_n)$ and $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \text{Cont}(\mathbf{U}_n)$ so that each of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) Either set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ or some variable $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ is unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$;

(ii) If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$, each variable $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$ and set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$ then for every identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ of S in less than $n/2$ variables and every substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$, each variable $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \Theta(\mathbf{v})$ and set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X)$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \Theta(\mathbf{v})$.

Then semigroup S is non-finitely based.

If we take set $\mathfrak{X}$ to be the empty set then Lemma 4.1 turns into Lemma 2.2. If we take $X = \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}_n)$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Cont}(\mathbf{U}_n)$ then in view of Fact 3.4((i) $\leftrightarrow$ (iii)), Lemma 4.1 immediately implies the following commonly used statement.

Corollary 4.2. *Let S be a semigroup. Suppose that for each n large enough one can find a word U_n in at least n variables so that U_n is not an isoterm for S but every word w in less than $n/2$ variables is an isoterm for S whenever $\Theta(w) = U_n$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Then semigroup S is non-finitely based.*

If $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ is a substitution and $\mathfrak{Y}$ is a set of variables then we define $\Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y}) := \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \text{Cont}(\Theta(x)) \cap \mathfrak{Y} \neq \emptyset\}$. For example, if $\Theta(x) = abc$, $\Theta(y) = bab$ and $\Theta(z) = bb$ then $\Theta^{-1}(\{a, c\}) = \{x, y\}$. If y is a variable then we write $\Theta^{-1}(y)$ instead of $\Theta^{-1}(\{y\})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$ be two identities so that for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ we have that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ and $\Theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{V}$.*

Then a set of variables $\mathfrak{Y}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$ whenever the set $\Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y})$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.

Proof. Denote $\mathfrak{X} = \Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{Y})$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, we have that $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathfrak{X})$. Define a substitution $\Theta' : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$ by $\Theta'(x) = \Theta(x)(\mathfrak{Y})$. Then $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{Y}) = \Theta'(\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{X})) = \Theta'(\mathbf{v}(\mathfrak{X})) = \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{Y})$. Therefore, set $\mathfrak{Y}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. $\square$

The next statement can be easily verified.

Fact 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word and $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$ be a substitution. Suppose that variable x occurs twice in $\mathbf{U} = \Theta(\mathbf{u})$.*

Then either set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ contains two variables t_1 and t_2 so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(t_1) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(t_2) = 1$, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{\mathbf{u}}t_1$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{\mathbf{u}}t_2$ or set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ contains variable x so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = 2$, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{1\mathbf{u}}x$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{2\mathbf{u}}x$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let S be a monoid so that the word xy is an isoterm for S and S satisfies an identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. Suppose that for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$, some variable x occurs twice in both $\mathbf{U} = \Theta(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. Then $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x)) = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{V}x)$ and $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x)) = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{V}x)$.*

Proof. In view of Fact 4.4, there are only two possibilities for set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ and word $\mathbf{u}$.

First, suppose that $\mathbf{u}$ contains two variables t_1 and t_2 so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(t_1) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(t_2) = 1$, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{\mathbf{u}}t_1$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{\mathbf{u}}t_2$. Since the word xy is an isoterm for S this implies that $\mathbf{u}(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{v}(t_1, t_2)$. Since both $\Theta(t_1)$ and $\Theta(t_2)$ contain x , the only possibility for word $\mathbf{v}$ is that $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{V}x) = {}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{V}x) = {}_{\mathbf{v}}t_2$.

Now assume that $\mathbf{u}$ contains variable x so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = 2$, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{1\mathbf{u}}x$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x) = {}_{2\mathbf{u}}x$. Since the word x is an isoterm for S , we have $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = 2$. Since $\Theta(x)$ contains x , we have $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{V}x) = {}_{1\mathbf{v}}x$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{V}x) = {}_{2\mathbf{v}}x$.

In any case we have $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{U}x)) = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(1\mathbf{V}x)$ and $l_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{U}x)) = \Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(2\mathbf{V}x)$. $\square$

The word obtained from a word $\mathbf{u}$ by deleting all occurrences of all variables in a set $\mathfrak{X}$ is denoted by $D_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{u})$. If set $\mathfrak{X}$ contains only one variable x then we simply write $D_x(\mathbf{u})$.

Theorem 4.6. *Each row in Table 1 encodes a sufficient condition under which a monoid is non-finitely based. More precisely, each row in Table 1 encodes the following statement: if S is a monoid that satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$ and every word in set W is an isoterm for S then S is non-finitely based.*

Proof. Row 1. Here $W = \{xyyx\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = xx[Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY]xx = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that the word $xyyx$ is an isoterm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$.

Claim 2. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following properties:

- (P1) $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = 2$;
- (P2) $D_x(\mathbf{U}) = [Yn][nY] = y_1y_2 \dots y_{n-1}y_ny_{n-1} \dots y_2y_1$;
- (P3) If ${}_1\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_1\mathbf{U}y_1$ then ${}_2\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_2\mathbf{U}y_n$.

Proof. Property (P1) follows from the fact that the word xx is an isoterm for S and $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}_n}(x) = 2$.

Property (P2) follows from the fact that

$$D_x(\mathbf{U}_n) = [Yn][nY] = y_1y_2 \dots y_{n-1}y_ny_{n-1} \dots y_2y_1 \succeq xygx.$$

Let us verify Property (P3). If ${}_1x <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_1y_1$ but ${}_2y_n <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_2x$, then in view of Property (P2) we would have $U(x, y_n) = xy_ny_nx \neq xxy_ny_n = U_n(x, y_n)$. Since the word xy_ny_nx is an isoterm for S , we must assume that $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies Property (P2). $\square$

Now take $n > 10$ and $X = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}_nx, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$. Evidently, set $X = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}_nx, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$ is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that set $X = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}_nx, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. ${}_1\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_1\mathbf{U}y_1$.

Property (P2) implies that

$${}_1\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_1\mathbf{U}y_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} \dots <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_1).$$

Properties (P2) and (P3) imply that

$${}_1\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} \dots <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_2) <_{\mathbf{U}} ({}_2\mathbf{U}y_1).$$

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both ${}_2\mathbf{U}y_{i+1}$ and ${}_2\mathbf{U}y_i$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y_1)$ and

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y_1).$$

Now $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y_1)$ are occurrences of some variables x and y in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables x and y occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then ${}_1\mathbf{u}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x)$, ${}_2\mathbf{u}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x)$, ${}_1\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y_1)$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y_1)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t)$ satisfies all conditions of Lemma 3.6, the set $\{{}_1\mathbf{u}x, {}_1\mathbf{u}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, i.e. $({}_1\mathbf{v}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}y)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies that $({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}y_1)$. This means that the set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}x, {}_1\mathbf{U}y_1\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 2.2.

Row 2. Here $W = \{yxxty, ytxxy\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = [Zn]^t yxx[Zn]y \approx [Zn]^t xxy[Zn]y = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that each word in set W is an isoterm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following properties:

- (P1) $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(y) = 2$;
- (P2) $D_y(\mathbf{U}) = [Zn]^t xx[Zn]$.

(Property (P1) follows from the fact that the word xx is an isoterm for S and $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}_n}(y) = 2$. Property (P2) follows from the fact that $D_y(\mathbf{U}_n) = [Zn]^t xx[Zn] = z_1tz_2t \dots z_n txxz_1z_2 \dots z_n$ and Lemma 3.7.)

Now take $n > 10$ and $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y\}$. Evidently, set $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y\}$ is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that set $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $1_{\mathbf{U}}y <_{\mathbf{U}} 1_{\mathbf{U}}x$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_i$ and $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y)$.

Now $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y)$ are occurrences of some variables x and y in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables x and y occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then $1_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x)$, $2_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x)$, $1_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y)$ and $2_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. If either x or y is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $yxxtxy \preceq \{xxt, txx, xtx\}$. If both x and y occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t) = yxxtxy$ is an isotherm for S . Since the set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, we have $(1_{\mathbf{v}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}}y)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies that $(1_{\mathbf{v}}y) <_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}}x)$. This means that the set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{1_{\mathbf{U}}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 2.2.

Row 3. Here $W = \{xtxyty\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = [ZPn]^t x [ZQn]xy [PRn]y^t [QRn] \approx [ZPn]^t x [ZQn]yx [PRn]y^t [QRn] = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that the word $xtxyty$ is an isotherm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following property:

(P) $D_{\{x,y\}}(\mathbf{U}) = [ZPn]^t [ZQn] [PRn]^t [QRn]$.

(Property (P) follows from the fact that $D_y(\mathbf{U}_n) = [ZPn]^t [ZQn] [PRn]^t [QRn]$ and Lemma 3.8.)

Now take $n > 10$, $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}z_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}q_n, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}p_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}r_n, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}y\}$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x, y\}$. Evidently, set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(y) = 2$ and set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}z_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}q_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}y) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}p_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}r_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}y)$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_i$ and $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t_1 in $\mathbf{u}$. Similarly, the word $\mathbf{u}$ contains a linear letter t_2 so that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}t_2)$ contains both $2_{\mathbf{U}}r_i$ and $2_{\mathbf{U}}r_{i+1}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}z_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}q_n) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}p_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t_2) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}r_n) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y)$.

Claim 3. *The word $\mathbf{u}(\Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y) \cup \{t_1, t_2\})$ is an isotherm for S .*

Proof. In view of Fact 4.4, there are only two possibilities for set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ and two possibilities for set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$. If set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ contains variable x with $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = 2$ and

set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$ contains variable y with $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = 2$ then $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t_1, t_2) = xt_1xyt_2y$ is an isoterm for S . If either set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ or set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$ contains a variable that is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then we have $xtxyty \preceq \{xxt, txx, txt\} \preceq \mathbf{u}(\Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y) \cup \{t_1, t_2\})$. $\square$

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. Claim 3 implies that set of variables $\Theta^{-1}(\{x, y\}) = \Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y)$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. Consequently, set $\{x, y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$ by Lemma 4.3. In particular, this means that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{V}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{V}}(y) = 2$ i.e. each variable in $\mathfrak{X} = \{x, y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$.

Claim 4. *Set*

$\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}q_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}p_1), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}r_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)\}$
is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.

Proof. In view of Claim 3 and Lemma 3.8, it is only left to prove that the following sets are left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$: $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1)\}$, $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}q_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x)\}$, $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}p_1)\}$, $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}r_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)\}$.

We only show that set $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1)\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. (Proofs for the other three sets are similar.) Indeed, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1)$ are occurrences of some variables x and z in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables x and z occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then ${}_1\mathbf{u}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x)$, ${}_2\mathbf{u}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x)$, ${}_1\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_1)$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1)$. If either x or z is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{{}_2\mathbf{u}x, {}_1\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xtxyty \preceq \{xxt, txx, txt\}$. If both x and z occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{{}_1\mathbf{u}x, {}_2\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(x, z, t) = ztxzt_1x$ is an isoterm for S . $\square$

Claim 4 and Lemma 4.5 imply that set

$l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}x, {}_2\mathbf{U}z_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}q_n, {}_2\mathbf{U}x, {}_1\mathbf{U}y, {}_2\mathbf{U}p_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}r_n, {}_2\mathbf{U}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 4.1.

Row 4. Here $W = \{xyyy, xytytx\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = xytyz_1^2z_2^2 \dots z_n^2x \approx yxtyz_1^2z_2^2 \dots z_n^2x = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that each word in W is an isoterm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$.

Claim 5. *If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (P1) $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = 2$;
- (P2) $D_x(\mathbf{U}) = ytyz_1^2 \dots z_n^2$;
- (P3) ${}_1\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{U}t$;
- (P4) ${}_1\mathbf{U}z_n <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_2\mathbf{U}x$.

Proof. Property (P1) follows from the fact that xx is an isoterm for S . Property (P2) follows from the fact that $D_x(\mathbf{U}_n) = ytyz_1^2 \dots z_n^2 \succeq xxyy$. Property (P3) follows from the fact that xtx is an isoterm for S . If ${}_2\mathbf{U}x <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_1\mathbf{U}z_n$ then monoid S would have satisfied the identity $\mathbf{U}(x, t, z_n) = xtxz_nz_n \approx xtz_nz_nx = \mathbf{U}_n(x, t, z_n)$. Since this identity is false in S , Property (P4) is verified. $\square$

Now take $n > 10$ and $X = \{({}_1\mathbf{U}_n x, {}_1\mathbf{U}_n y)\}$. Evidently, set $X = \{({}_1\mathbf{U}_n x, {}_1\mathbf{U}_n y)\}$ is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that set $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $1_{\mathbf{U}}x <_{\mathbf{U}} 1_{\mathbf{U}}y$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both $1_{\mathbf{U}}z_i$ and $1_{\mathbf{U}}z_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t_1 in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, Properties (P1)-(P4) imply that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x)$.

Now $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y)$ are occurrences of some variables x and y in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables x and y occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then $1_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x)$, $2_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x)$, $1_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y)$ and $2_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. If either x or y is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xyxy \preceq \{xxt, txx, xtx\}$. If both x and y occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t, t_1) = xytyt_1x$ is an isoterm for S . Since the set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, we have $(1_{\mathbf{v}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}}y)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies that $(1_{\mathbf{V}}y) <_{\mathbf{V}} (1_{\mathbf{V}}x)$. This means that the set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{1_{\mathbf{U}}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 2.2.

Row 5. Here $W = \{xyxy, xyty, xytyx\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = xy[Zn]yxt[nZ] \approx yx[Zn]xyt[ZA] = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that each word in set W is an isoterm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following property:

(P) $D_{x,y}(\mathbf{U}) = [Zn]t[nZ] = z_1z_2 \dots z_ntz_n \dots z_2z_1$.

(Property (P) follows from the fact $D_{x,y}(\mathbf{U}_n) = [Zn]t[nZ] \succeq xytyx$.)

Now take $n > 10$, $X = \{1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}y, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}z_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}z_n, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}y, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}x\}$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x, y\}$. Evidently, set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(y) = 2$ and set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}y) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}z_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}z_n) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}y) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}x)$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_i$ and $2_{\mathbf{U}}z_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}z_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}z_n) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x)$.

Claim 6. *The word $\mathbf{u}(\Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y) \cup \{t\})$ is an isoterm for S .*

Proof. In view of Fact 4.4, there are only two possibilities for set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ and two possibilities for set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$. If set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ contains variable x with $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = 2$ and set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$ contains variable y with $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(y) = 2$ then $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t) = xytyx$ is an isoterm for S . If either set $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ or set $\Theta^{-1}(y)$ contains a variable that is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then we have $xytyx \preceq \mathbf{u}(\Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y) \cup \{t\})$. $\square$

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. Claim 6 implies that set of variables $\Theta^{-1}(\{x, y\}) = \Theta^{-1}(x) \cup \Theta^{-1}(y)$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. Consequently, set $\{x, y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$ by Lemma 4.3. In particular, this means that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{V}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{V}}(y) = 2$ i.e. each variable in $\mathfrak{X} = \{x, y\}$ is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$.

Claim 7. Set $= \{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_1), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}x)\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$.

Proof. In view of Claim 6 and the fact that $xytyx \preceq [Zn]t[nZ]$, it is only left to prove that the following sets are left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$: $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_1)\}$, $\{\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_n), \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)\}$.

Indeed, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_1)$ are occurrences of some variables y and z in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables y and z occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then ${}_1\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y)$, ${}_2\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)$, ${}_1\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_1)$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_1)$. If either y or z is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{{}_1\mathbf{u}y, {}_1\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xytyx \preceq xtx$. If both y and z occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{{}_1\mathbf{u}y, {}_1\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(y, z, t) = yztyz$.

Similarly, $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_n)$ are occurrences of some variables y and z in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables y and z occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then ${}_1\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y)$, ${}_2\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)$, ${}_1\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}z_n)$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}z = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}z_n)$. If either y or z is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{{}_2\mathbf{u}y, {}_1\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xytyx \preceq xtx$. If both y and z occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{{}_2\mathbf{u}y, {}_1\mathbf{u}z\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(y, z, t, t') = ytzyt'z$. (Here ${}_1t' = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}t)$.) $\square$

Claim 7 and Lemma 4.5 imply that set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{{}_1\mathbf{U}x, {}_1\mathbf{U}y, {}_1\mathbf{U}z_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}z_n, {}_2\mathbf{U}y, {}_2\mathbf{U}x\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 4.1.

Row 6. Similar to Row 5.

Row 7. Here $W = \{xtxyty, xytyx\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = [Xn][nX][Yn][nY] \approx [Yn][nY][Xn][nX] = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that each word in set W is an isoterms for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following properties:

(P1) $D_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}(\mathbf{U}) = [Yn][nY] = y_1y_2 \dots y_ny_n \dots y_2y_1$.

(P2) $D_{\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}}(\mathbf{U}) = [Xn][nX] = x_1x_2 \dots x_nx_n \dots x_2x_1$.

(Properties (P1) and (P2) follow from the fact that $D_{\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}}(\mathbf{U}_n) = [Xn][nX] = x_1x_2 \dots x_nx_n \dots x_2x_1 \succeq xytyx$.)

Now take $n > 10$ and $X = \{{}_2\mathbf{U}_nx_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$. Evidently, set $X = \{{}_2\mathbf{U}_nx_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$ is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that set $X = \{{}_2\mathbf{U}_nx_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}_ny_1\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. ${}_2\mathbf{U}x_1 <_{\mathbf{U}} {}_1\mathbf{U}y_1$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both ${}_2\mathbf{u}x_i$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}x_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t_1 in $\mathbf{u}$. Similarly, the word $\mathbf{u}$ contains a linear letter t_2 so that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}({}_1\mathbf{u}t_2)$ contains both ${}_2\mathbf{u}y_i$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}y_{i+1}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t_2) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y_1)$.

Now $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x_1)$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y_1)$ are occurrences of some variables x and y in $\mathbf{u}$. By Fact 4.4, variables x and y occur at most twice in $\mathbf{u}$ and if each of them occurs twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then $1_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x_1)$, $2_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}x_1)$, $1_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}y_1)$ and $2_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y_1)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. If either x or y is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ then set $\{1_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $xtxyty \preceq xtx$. If both x and y occur twice in $\mathbf{u}$ then the set $\{2_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ because $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t) = xtxyty$ is an isoterm for S . Since the set $\{2_{\mathbf{u}}x, 1_{\mathbf{u}}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$, we have $(2_{\mathbf{v}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} (1_{\mathbf{v}}y)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies that $(2_{\mathbf{V}}x_1) <_{\mathbf{V}} (1_{\mathbf{V}}y_1)$. This means that set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{2_{\mathbf{U}}x_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}}y_1\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 2.2.

Row 8. Here $W = \{xxyy\} \cup \{ytyx^dtx^{m-d}, x^{m-d}tx^dtyt | 0 < d < m\}$ for some $m > 2$ and $\mathbf{U}_n = yt_1x^{m-1}yp_1^2 \dots p_n^2zt_2z \approx yt_1x^myp_1^2 \dots p_n^2zt_2z = \mathbf{V}_n$. Let S be a monoid so that each word in set W is an isoterm for S and S satisfies the identity $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$ for each $n > 1$. If $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ then $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following property:

(P) $D_x(\mathbf{U}) = yt_1yp_1^2 \dots p_n^2zt_2z$.

(Property (P) follows from the fact $D_x(\mathbf{U}_n) = yt_1yp_1^2 \dots p_n^2zt_2z \succeq xxyy$.)

Now take $n > 10$, $X = \{\mathbf{U}_n t_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, 2_{\mathbf{U}_n}y, 1_{\mathbf{U}_n}z, m_{\mathbf{U}_n}x, \mathbf{U}_n t_2\}$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x\}$. Evidently, set X is left-unstable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{V}_n$.

Let us check the second condition of Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathbf{U} \in [\mathbf{U}_n]_S$ be a word so that $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = m$ and set X is left-stable in $\mathbf{U}_n \approx \mathbf{U}$, i.e. $(\mathbf{U}t_1) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} (2_{\mathbf{U}}y) <_{\mathbf{U}} (1_{\mathbf{U}}z) <_{\mathbf{U}} (m_{\mathbf{U}}x) <_{\mathbf{U}} (\mathbf{U}t_2)$.

Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a word in less than $n/2$ variables so that $\Theta(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{U}$ for some substitution $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^+$. Since the word $\mathbf{u}$ has less than $n/2$ variables, for some $c \in \text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u})$ and $2 < i < n-1$ both $1_{\mathbf{U}}p_i$ and $1_{\mathbf{U}}p_{i+1}$ are contained in $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}(c)$. Then property (P2) implies that c must be the only occurrence of a linear variable t in $\mathbf{u}$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{U}), <_{\mathbf{U}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{u}), <_{\mathbf{u}})$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(2_{\mathbf{U}}y) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}z) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(m_{\mathbf{U}}x) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}t_2)$.

Claim 8. Each variable in $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S .

Proof. If variable $x \in \Theta^{-1}(x)$ occurs in $\mathbf{u}$ exactly m times, then $1_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{U}}x)$ and $m_{\mathbf{u}}x = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(m_{\mathbf{U}}x)$. Since $(1_{\mathbf{u}}x) <_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{u}t) <_{\mathbf{u}} (m_{\mathbf{u}}x)$ we have that $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = x^dtx^{m-d}$ for some $0 < d < m$. Since this word is an isoterm for S , variable x is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S . If variable $y \in \Theta^{-1}(x)$ occurs in $\mathbf{u}$ less than m times, then y is stable in $\mathbf{u}$ with respect to S because the word y^{m-1} is an isoterm for S . $\square$

Now let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an arbitrary identity of S and $\mathbf{V} = \Theta(\mathbf{v})$. Then by Claim 8 each variable in $\Theta^{-1}(x)$ is stable in $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$. Therefore, variable x is stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$, i.e. $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{U}}(x) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{V}}(x) = m$.

Claim 9. Set $l_{\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{U}}(X) = \{\mathbf{U}t_1, 1_{\mathbf{U}}x, 2_{\mathbf{U}}y, 1_{\mathbf{U}}z, m_{\mathbf{U}}x, \mathbf{U}t_2\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$.

Proof. In view of the fact that $yt_1yp_1^2 \dots p_n^2zt_2z \succeq xxyy$, it is enough to prove that sets $\{\mathbf{U}t_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}x, {}_2\mathbf{U}y\}$ and $\{{}_1\mathbf{U}z, {}_m\mathbf{U}x, \mathbf{U}t_2\}$ are left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. We only show that set $\{\mathbf{U}t_1, {}_1\mathbf{U}x, {}_2\mathbf{U}y\}$ is left-stable in $\mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{V}$. (Proof for the other set is symmetric.)

Since variable t_1 is linear in $\mathbf{U}$, we may assume that t_1 is linear in $\mathbf{u}$ and ${}_{\mathbf{u}}t_1 = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}t_1)$. Since y occurs twice in $\mathbf{U}$, we may assume that either ${}_1\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y)$ and ${}_2\mathbf{u}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)$ or ${}_{\mathbf{u}}t_3 = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}y)$ and ${}_{\mathbf{u}}y = \Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_2\mathbf{U}y)$. In view of Fact 2.3 we may assume that $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{U}x) = {}_1\mathbf{u}x$.

If variable x occurs m times in $\mathbf{u}$, then $\Theta^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$, $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = m$ and $\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}({}_m\mathbf{U}x) = {}_m\mathbf{u}x$. Since ${}_1\mathbf{u}x \leq_{\mathbf{u}} ({}_{\mathbf{u}}t) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} {}_m\mathbf{u}x$, we have that $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t_1, t) = yt_1x^d yx^c tx^p$ (or $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t_1, t) = t_1x^d yx^c tx^p$) for some $d, p > 0$ and $d + c + p = m$. Since for each $0 < d < m$ the word $yt_1yx^d tx^{m-d}$ is an isotherm for S , we have that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$ (or $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$). Since $\Theta^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$, we have that $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{v}x) = {}_1\mathbf{v}x$. Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{V}), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{v}), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ and in view of Lemma 4.5, we conclude that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$.

If variable x occurs less than m times in $\mathbf{u}$, then $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t_1) = yt_1x^d yx^c$ (or $\mathbf{u}(x, y, t_1) = t_1x^d yx^c$) so that $d > 0$ and $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) = d + c < m$. Since the words yt_1yx^{d+c} and $x^d tx^c$ are isotherms for S , we have that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$ (or $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$). In view of Fact 2.3, for some variable z we have $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}({}_1\mathbf{v}x) = {}_1\mathbf{v}z$. If $z = x$ then Lemma 4.5 implies that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$.

If $z \neq x$ then we have $({}_1\mathbf{v}z) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$ (or $({}_1\mathbf{v}z) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$) and $({}_1\mathbf{u}x) <_{\mathbf{u}} ({}_1\mathbf{u}z)$. Let us assume that $({}_1\mathbf{v}z) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1)$ and obtain a contradiction. Since by Claim 8 we have $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \text{occ}_{\mathbf{v}}(z) < m$, the word $\mathbf{v}(z, t_1)$ is an isotherm for S . Consequently, $({}_1\mathbf{u}z) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} ({}_{\mathbf{u}}t_1)$. But on the other hand $({}_{\mathbf{u}}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{u}} ({}_1\mathbf{u}x) <_{\mathbf{u}} ({}_1\mathbf{u}z)$. To avoid a contradiction, we must assume that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}z)$.

Since $\Theta_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{V}), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ to $(\text{OccSet}(\mathbf{v}), <_{\mathbf{v}})$ and $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}z) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$ (or $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}z) \leq_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$) we have that $({}_{\mathbf{v}}t_1) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_1\mathbf{v}x) <_{\mathbf{v}} ({}_2\mathbf{v}y)$. $\square$

Therefore, monoid S is non-finitely based by Lemma 4.1. $\square$

It is easy to verify (see articles [16, 17]) that if W is one of the eight sets of words in the left column of Table 1 then the finite monoid $S(W)$ satisfies the corresponding identities in the right column, and consequently, is non-finitely based by Theorem 4.6.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Dr. Lev Shneerson for a number of useful suggestions on improvement of the previous version of this article.

References

- [1] J. Isbell, *Two examples in varieties of monoids*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, **68**, No.2, September 1970, 265–266.
- [2] M. Jackson, *On the finite basis problem for finite Rees quotients of free monoids*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **67**, (2001), 121–159.
- [3] M. Jackson, *Finiteness properties of varieties and the restriction to finite algebras*, Semigroup Forum, **70**, (2005), 159–187.
- [4] M. Jackson, O. Sapir, *Finitely based, finite sets of words*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., **10**, No.6 (2000), 683–708.
- [5] E. W. H. Lee, *Finitely generated limit varieties of aperiodic monoids with central idempotents*, J. Algebra Appl. **8** (2009), No. 6, 779–796.
- [6] E. W. H. Lee, *Maximal Specht varieties of monoids*, Mosc. Math. J. **12** (2012), 787–802.
- [7] E. W. H. Lee, *A sufficient condition for the non-finite basis property of semigroups*, Monatsh. Math. **168** (2012), 461–472.
- [8] E. W. H. Lee, *Finitely based monoids obtained from non-finitely based semigroups*, accepted by Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica.
- [9] J. R. Li, W. T. Zhang, Y. F. Luo, *On the finite basis problem for certain 2-limited words*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **29** (2013), 571–590.
- [10] R. McKenzie, *Tarski’s finite basis problem is undecidable*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., **6**, (1996), 49–104.
- [11] P. Perkins, *Bases for equational theories of semigroups*, J. Algebra **11** (1969), 298–314.
- [12] M. Sapir, *Problems of Burnside type and the finite basis property in varieties of semigroups*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **30**, No.2 (1988), 295–314.
- [13] M. Sapir, *Inherently nonfinitely based finite semigroups*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **61**, No.1 (1988), 155–166.
- [14] O. Sapir, *Finitely based words*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., **10**, No.4 (2000), 457–480.
- [15] O. Sapir, *Finitely based monoids*, preprint.
- [16] O. Sapir, *Finitely based words with at most two non-linear variables*, preprint.
- [17] O. Sapir, *Finitely based sets of block-2-simple words*, preprint.

- [18] O. Sapir, *Finitely generated permutative varieties*, Semigroup Forum, **78**, (2009), 427–449.
- [19] L. Shevrin, M. Volkov, *Identities of semigroups*, Russian Math (Iz. VUZ), **29**, No.11, (1985), 1–64.
- [20] L. Shneerson, *On the axiomatic rank of varieties generated by a semigroup or monoid with one defining relation*, Semigroup Forum, **39**, (1989), 17–38.
- [21] M. Volkov, *The finite basis problem for finite semigroups*, Sci. Math. Jpn., **53**, (2001), 171–199.
- [22] W. T. Zhang, Luo, Y. F, *A new example of a minimal nonfinitely based semigroup*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **84**, (2011), 484–491.
- [23] W. T. Zhang, *Existence of a new limit variety of aperiodic monoids*, Semigroup Forum, **86**, (2013), 212–220.